BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.: 800-2017-036074

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Paul H. Miller, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 10099

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 19, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED: <u>July 20, 2021</u>.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Richard E. Thorp, M.D., Chair

Panel B

- 11				
1	MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ Acting Attorney General of California			
2	JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	PEGGIE BRADFORD TARWATER Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 169127 California Department of Justice			
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6448	·		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6448 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117	·		
7	E-mail: Peggie.Tarwater@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant			
8	Anorneys for Complainam			
9	BEFOR	•		
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
11	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA		
12				
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2017-036074		
14	PAUL H. MILLER, M.D.	OAH No. 2020110138		
15	625 East Badillo Street Covina, CA 91723	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G	DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
17	10099,			
18	Respondent.			
19				
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-		
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
22	PARTIES			
23	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of			
24	California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this			
25	matter by Matthew Rodriquez, Acting Attorney General of the State of California, by Peggie			
26	Bradford Tarwater, Deputy Attorney General.			
	///	·		
27				
28_				

- 2. Respondent Paul H. Miller, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Peter R. Osinoff, whose address is: 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1750 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1562.
- 3. On September 29, 1964, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 10099 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2017-036074, and will expire on October 31, 2021, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2017-036074 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on August 21, 2020. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2017-036074 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2017-036074. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

1	7	١,	1
		,	

28.

28.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2017-036074, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2017-036074 and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, No. G 10099 to disciplinary action.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline, and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2017-036074 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 10099 issued to Respondent Paul H. Miller, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for 35 months upon the following terms and conditions.

- 1. <u>EDUCATION COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 2. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the CME

requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the CME requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. <u>PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE)</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this <u>Decision</u>, <u>Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program</u>, that

meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1.

Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the CME requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

5. MONITORING - PRACTICE. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision and Accusation, and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision, Accusation, and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement

that the monitor has read the Decision and Accusation, fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice monitor shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within five calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

28_

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

6. NOTIFICATION. Within seven days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any changes in hospitals, other facilities, or insurance carriers.

- 7. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE</u>

 <u>NURSES.</u> During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.
- 8. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 9. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 11. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 12. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct

patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If
Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall
comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training
program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered nonpractice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of
probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while
on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be
considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a
period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards' Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve
Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the
exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws;
General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or
Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

- 13. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 14. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and

Q

///

///

.28_

carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

- 15. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.

 The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
 determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
 designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
 to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 16. <u>PROBATION MONITORING COSTS</u>. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.
- 17. <u>FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE</u>. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2017-036074 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict license.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Peter R. Osinoff. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED:	4/12/2024	PAULH, MILLER, M.D. Respondent
		A STATE OF THE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT AND

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Paul H. Miller, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

I approve its form and content.

DATED: 4/13/2021

PETER R. OSINOFF, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED:

Respectfully submitted,

MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ
Acting Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

PEGGIE BRADFORD TARWATER Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

LA2020500999 64114124.docx

27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

12

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2017-036074)

ACCEPTANCE 1 2 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Peter R. Osinoff. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will 3 have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and 4 Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the 5 Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California. 6 7 DATED: 8 PAUL H. MILLER, M.D. .9 Respondent 1-0 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Paul H. Miller, M.D. the terms and 11 conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content. 12 13 DATED: PETER R. OSINOFF, ESQ. 14 Attorney for Respondent 15 16 ENDORSEMENT 17 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully 18 submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California. 19 Respectfully submitted, DATED: April 14, 2021 20 MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ 21 Acting Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO 22 Supervising Deputy Attorney General Digitally signed by Peggie B. Peggie B. 23 Tarwater .Date: 2021.04.14 17:25:02 Tarwater -07'00' 24 PEGGIE BRADFORD TARWATER Deputy Attorney General 25 Attorneys for Complainant 26 LA2020600999

64114124.docx

27

28_

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2017-036074

- }}	·	•			
1	XAVIER BECERRA				
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PEGGIE BRADFORD TARWATER				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 169127				
5	California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702	·			
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6448				
7	Facsimile: (215) 209-0448 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 E-mail: Peggie, Tarwater @doj.ca.gov				
8	Attorneys for Complainant				
9	DEFODE	י הובציה י			
10	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA				
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12					
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2017-036074			
	Paul H. Miller, M.D.	ACCUSATION			
14	625 East Badillo Street Covina, CA 91723	TO O O DIE E VIII			
15	·	•			
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 10099,				
17	Respondent.				
18		· •			
19	n A Tarr	TTTC			
20	PART	,			
21		s this Accusation solely in his official capacity			
22	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs				
23.	(Board).				
24	2. On or about September 29, 1964, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's				
25	Certificate Number G 10099 to Paul H. Miller, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and				
26	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought				
27	herein and will expire on October 31, 2021, unless renewed.				
28					
	1				

(PAUL H. MILLER, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2017-036074

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
- 6. Section 2242, subdivision (a) of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct. . . .
- 7. Section 725 of the Code states:
- (a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of

(PAUL H. MILLER, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2017-036074

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 15. Respondent Paul H. Miller, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), in that he was grossly negligent in the treatment of Patient 1 and Patient 2.
- 16. During the time relevant to this Accusation, Respondent practiced medicine with a partner in Covina, California. His practice consisted of approximately 90 percent cardiology patients and 10 percent of internal medicine patients.
- 17. Patient 1, a 58-year-old female, was Respondent's office manager. Respondent acted as her primary care provider. Respondent's medical records for Patient 1 reflect treatment beginning in 2009 and continuing through approximately April 2018.
- 18. Patient 2, a 64-year-old male, began treating with Respondent in approximately 2013 with a last visit in January 2018. Patient 1 and Patient 2 married in 2016.
- 19. Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) reports for Respondent as a prescriber covering the period of September 20, 2014, through November 13, 2017 (the prescribing period) included more than 200 patients. It demonstrated approximately 10 patients who had been prescribed chronic pain management medications with two patients, Patient 1 and Patient 2, representing 15 percent of the controlled substances prescribed by Respondent. Patient 1 accounted for more than 40 percent of controlled substances filled by ail chronic pain patients in the three-year timeframe.
- 20. According to Respondent, he had a small number of chronic pain patients in his practice who were long-term patients. His requirement for those patients was to see them in person for an examination every time they received a controlled substance. At a maximum, his practice was to authorize two refills of controlled substances.
- 21. Respondent did not consult CURES with regard to his controlled substance prescribing.

¹ Patients are referred to by number to protect their privacy. Respondent is aware of the identity of each patient, and further identifying information will be provided pursuant to a Request for Discovery.

Patient 1

- 22. CURES reporting reflects that during the prescribing period, prescriptions written by Respondent for Patient 1 were filled representing a morphine milligram equivalency (MME)² of 58-66 MME daily, increasing to 70 to 80 MME by May and June 2017, increasing Patient 1's risk of respiratory depression and death.
- 23. CURES reporting demonstrates that prescriptions for Patient 1 were filled in different names, at different pharmacies, and by different physicians.
- 24. For example, the following prescriptions were filled for Patient 1 in June 2017: June 1, Norco, 60 pills, filled for Patient 1 under her maiden name by Sierra Pharmacy, prescribed by Respondent's partner.
- June 1, Zolpidem, 60 pills, filled for Patient 1 under her maiden name by Costco Pharmacy, prescribed by Respondent.
- June 9, Norco, 60 pills, filled for Patient 1 under her married name by Target Pharmacy, prescribed by Respondent.
- June 22, Norco, 60 pills, filled for Patient 1 under her maiden name by Target Pharmacy, prescribed by Respondent.
- June 22, Soma, 120 pills, filled for Patient 1 under her married name by Sierra Pharmacy, prescribed by Respondent.
- June 22, Norco, 30 pills, filled for Patient 1 under her maiden name by Sierra Pharmacy, prescribed by a physician outside of Respondent's practice.
- June 29, Norco, 60 pills, filled for Patient 1 under her maiden name by Sierra Pharmacy, prescribed by Respondent.
- June 30, Fioricet with codeine, 90 pills, filled for Patient 1 under her maiden name by Target Pharmacy, prescribed by a physician outside of Respondent's practice.

² The MME is a value representing daily dosing which allows the prescriber a benchmark for safe narcotic prescribing. Centers for Disease Control guidelines recommend prescribing the lowest effective opioid dose and using caution when increasing the dosage, particularly when increasing to more than 50 MME per day.

- 25. Medical records, including prescriptions, reflect that during the prescribing period, Respondent prescribed the following substances to Patient 1: Norco, Ascomp/Fioricet with codeine, acetaminophen with codeine, Soma, zolpidem, and alprazolam.
- 26. Respondent's medical records for Patient 1 include a sheet entitled "subsequent visit and findings." This sheet contains a date of an office visit or date on which prescriptions were dispensed outside of an office visit, consultant reports received, and lab or x-ray results. For office visits, the subsequent visit and findings sheet generally contains a note indicating "follow up note filed in chart."
- 27. With the exception of notes for an August 2015 pre-surgical clearance for gastric surgery, the medical records lack follow up notes to match the visit dates. The medical records contain prescriptions for controlled substances and other medications without accompanying follow up notes.
- 28. Respondent's medical records do not contain a patient history and physical examination related to controlled substance prescribing, including prescribing for narcotic pain medication, benzodiazepines, and muscle relaxers.
- 29. Respondent represented he saw Patient 1 as a "formal patient" and checked her blood pressure, but most of the time he relied on interacting with her on a daily basis.
- 30. Patient 1 had a history of hypertension and smoking, placing her at a high risk for complications from narcotic use, a factor that Respondent did not consider in his prescribing.
- 31. Respondent did not consider Patient 1's history of gastrointestinal problems and the potential exacerbation of the problems with narcotics use.
- 32. Respondent received reports from medical consultants relating to the care of Patient 1 which demonstrated that Respondent did not accurately convey Patient 1's controlled substance use.
- 33. Respondent prepared a consultation note in relation to an August 2015 gastric surgery which did not fully relay Patient 1's narcotic usage. Respondent disclosed that Patient 1 was taking Norco twice per day as needed and Tylenol with codeine at 30 mg. with an unspecified daily dosage. Respondent's medical records reflect that in the timeframe of the surgery, Patient 1

was prescribed Norco, one to two pills every four hours, and the Tylenol with codeine every six hours.

- 34. In preparation for her August 2015 gastric surgery, Respondent conducted an electrocardiogram (EKG). Respondent noted a result that was within normal limits. However, the EKG reflects normal sinus rhythm with T-wave inversion, an abnormal result that can be seen with ischemia (inadequate blood supply to the heart), ventricular strain, electrolyte disorders, or other conditions.
 - 35. Respondent was grossly negligent in the care and treatment of Patient 1 as follows:
 - a. Respondent failed to perform and/or document a medical history and examination, including preparing a treatment plan with periodic review and consultation when needed, in prescribing controlled substances to Patient 1, as required by the standard of care.
 - b. Respondent failed to consult CURES during the prescribing period for Patient 1 and/or consider excessive prescribing as required by the standard of care.
 - c. Respondent failed to appropriately document his narcotic prescribing to Patient 1, as required by the standard of care.
 - d. Respondent failed to provide and/or document appropriate routine surveillance and preventive vaccinations, as Patient 1's primary care giver, as required by the standard of care.
 - e. Respondent failed to accurately read Patient 1's August 17, 2015 EKG, as required by the standard of care.

Patient 2

- 36. CURES reporting reflects that during the prescribing period, prescriptions for Patient 2 were written by Respondent and filled beginning on October 21, 2014, with a months' supply of zolpidem and Soma. The medications were then refilled in the following month and thereafter monthly for years, into October 2017.
- 37. At the end of December 2014, CURES reporting for prescriptions written by Respondent reflects that Patient 2 received a prescription for 120 tablets of 10 mg Norco. Norco

was then filled for Patient 2 on January 27, 2015, and then again on February 5, 2015, although at 90 tablets, 5 mg strength. Norco was then filled approximately monthly at the dosage of 120 tablets, 10 mg for years, continuing through the end of the CURES reports in November 2017.

- 38. Respondent's medical records for Patient 2 include a sheet entitled "subsequent visit and findings." This sheet contains a date of an office visit or date on which prescriptions were dispensed outside of an office visit, consultant reports received, and lab or x-ray results. For office visits, the subsequent visit and findings sheet generally contains a note indicating "follow up note filed in chart." The medical record for Patient 2 includes follow up notes for some visits.
- 39. Respondent's medical records and actual prescriptions demonstrate that Respondent prescribed zolpidem, Soma, and Norco to Patient 2.
- 40. Respondent's medical records for Patient 2 reflect a prescription for zolpidem on March 17, 2014. However, there is no corresponding follow up note reflecting a visit at which zolpidem was discussed and prescribed. Zolpidem is not listed in the follow up notes as a drug Patient 2 is taking until a visit of April 29, 2016.
- 41. The first follow up note for an office visit appears in the record with a date of February 4, 2015. At that visit, Respondent notes that Patient 2 is taking Norco, along with other non-controlled medications. There is a note that the patient will lower his Norco use, but there is no explanation for the Norco prescription.
- 42. Prescriptions reflect that Respondent prescribed Soma to Patient 2, but there is no mention of the medication in Respondent's follow-up notes.
- 43. Respondent's follow up notes fail to contain the reasoning for prescribing controlled substances. The physical examination is frequently duplicated, and several systems are not recognized. The lung examination is without change, and there is never an ear, nose and throat examination, important considerations in a middle-aged patient who is a prior smoker.
- 44. For those follow up visits that reflect prescribing to Patient 2, a note of zero quantity and zero refills is included for each medication.
- 45. Although Respondent acted as Patient 2's primary care provider, he did not provide him with routine care in relation to his risk factors, including addressing routine vaccinations for

(PAUL H. MILLER, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2017-036074